

Evidence of the Cost of the Production of Microcystins by Microcystis aeruginosa under Differing Light and Nitrate Environmental Conditions

Enora Briand, Myriam Bormans, Catherine Quiblier, M. J. Salençon,

Jean-François Humbert

To cite this version:

Enora Briand, Myriam Bormans, Catherine Quiblier, M. J. Salençon, Jean-François Humbert. Evidence of the Cost of the Production of Microcystins by Microcystis aeruginosa under Differing Light and Nitrate Environmental Conditions. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7 (1), pp.e29981. 10.1371/journal.pone.0029981 bioemco-00661635

HAL Id: bioemco-00661635 <https://hal-bioemco.ccsd.cnrs.fr/bioemco-00661635>

Submitted on 20 Jan 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Evidence of the Cost of the Production of Microcystins by Microcystis aeruginosa under Differing Light and Nitrate Environmental Conditions

Enora Briand^{1,2}, Myriam Bormans¹, Catherine Quiblier^{2,3}, Marie-José Salençon⁴, Jean-François Humbert 5*

1 Université de Rennes, Rennes, France, 2 Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France, 3 Université Paris Diderot, Paris, France, 4 Environmental Defense Fund Rand, Département LNHE, Chatou, France, 5 French National Institute Agricultural Research, UMR Biogéochimie et écologie des milleux continent aux (BIOEMCO), Paris, France

Abstract

The cyanobacterium Microcystis aeruginosa is known to proliferate in freshwater ecosystems and to produce microcystins. It is now well established that much of the variability of bloom toxicity is due to differences in the relative proportions of microcystin-producing and non-microcystin-producing cells in cyanobacterial populations. In an attempt to elucidate changes in their relative proportions during cyanobacterial blooms, we compared the fitness of the microcystin-producing M. aeruginosa PCC 7806 strain (WT) to that of its non-microcystin-producing mutant (MT). We investigated the effects of two light intensities and of limiting and non-limiting nitrate concentrations on the growth of these strains in monoculture and co-culture experiments. We also monitored various physiological parameters, and microcystin production by the WT strain. In monoculture experiments, no significant difference was found between the growth rates or physiological characteristics of the two strains during the exponential growth phase. In contrast, the MT strain was found to dominate the WT strain in co-culture experiments under favorable growth conditions. Moreover, we also found an increase in the growth rate of the MT strain and in the cellular MC content of the WT strain. Our findings suggest that differences in the fitness of these two strains under optimum growth conditions were attributable to the cost to microcystin-producing cells of producing microcystins, and to the putative existence of cooperation processes involving direct interactions between these strains.

Citation: Briand E, Bormans M, Quiblier C, Salençon M-J, Humbert J-F (2012) Evidence of the Cost of the Production of Microcystins by Microcystis aeruginosa under Differing Light and Nitrate Environmental Conditions. PLoS ONE 7(1): e29981. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029981

Editor: Brett Neilan, University of New South Wales, Australia

Received October 1, 2011; Accepted December 8, 2011; Published January 19, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 Briand et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was conducted in the framework of a research program with Electricité de France Research & Development (EDF R&D). This work was also funded by the Alpha Natural Resources (ANR) Research Program SEST 2007 (project MATRICS). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: This research was partly funded by Electricité de France Research&Development (EDF R&D). Marie-José Salençon is employed by Electricite´ de France Research&Development (EDF R&D). There are no patents, products in development or marketed products to declare. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all the PLoS ONE policies on sharing data and materials, as detailed online in the guide for authors. All other authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: humbert@biologie.ens.fr

Introduction

Cyanobacteria are known to proliferate in eutrophic freshwater ecosystems, and to produce various cyanotoxins. The toxins most often observed are the hepatotoxic microcystins (MCs) that can threaten both animal and human health [1,2]. It is difficult to monitor and predict the potential health risks associated with these toxins, because MC concentrations vary considerably both from one bloom to another, and during the course of a single bloom [3,4]. It is now well established that this variability is due in part to changes in the levels of MC production by the MC-producing cells, and in part to changes in the relative proportions of potentially MC-producing and non-MC-producing cells in the bloom-forming populations.

As shown in various studies involving the use of the real-time PCR [4–14], these relative proportions can display major changes, which are not clearly linked to changes in particular environmental factors. For example, a positive correlation has been found between the changes in nitrate concentrations and those in the proportions of MC-producing Microcystis cells in two Japanese freshwater ecosystems [6,11]. However, Rinta-Kanto et al. [12] reported the reverse in a lake in the USA. In several papers [4,7,8,14], a negative correlation has in fact been found between the changes in cyanobacterial cell abundance and those in the proportion of potentially MC-producing cells.

In an attempt to obtain a better understanding of these changes in the proportions of potentially toxic or non-toxic cells, co-culture experiments have been recently performed using MC-producing and non-MC-producing strains of two freshwater cyanobacteria, M. aeruginosa [15,16] and P. agardhii [17]. They have demonstrated that under environmental conditions favorable for growth, the fitness of the non-MC-producing strains was greater than that of the MC-producing ones. In contrast, during co-culture experiments involving MC-producing and non-MC-producing strains under growth-limiting conditions, the MC-producing strains displayed greater fitness [16,17]. These initial data about the relative fitness of MC-producing and non-MC-producing strains under different environmental conditions looked very promising.

However, the MC-producing and non-MC-producing strains investigated corresponded to different genotypes, and so genes involved in functions other than MC production might also have influenced the outcome of competition, even if several different MC-producing and non-MC-producing strains have been used in order to try to minimize this bias. With the aim of avoiding this potential problem, we have now performed new experiments involving the toxic M. aeruginosa strain PCC 7806 (wild-type, WT) and its non-MC-producing mutant (MT), which are genetically identical apart from their ability to produce MCs.

During these experiments, we tested two main hypotheses. The first was that the relative fitness of WT and MT strains depends on the environmental conditions, knowing that environmental conditions have a direct impact on the cell growth rate and an indirect impact on the MC production rate of M. aeruginosa [18]. To do this, we compared the growth rate and some of the physiological parameters of the two strains under optimal culture conditions and under light and/or nitrogen limiting culture conditions. In the nitrogen-limiting conditions, we also tested the impact on the same parameters of the removal of this limitation by adding NaNO_3 , with the aim of evaluating the effect of a rapid change in the environmental conditions on the relative fitness of the two strains. The second hypothesis investigated in this paper concerned the putative role of MCs or other metabolites regulated by MCs in intercellular communication [19]. To do this, we performed co-cultures of the WT and the MT strains under the same culture conditions as described previously, in order to investigate the possible impact of direct interactions between these strains on their growth. Moreover, we tested the effect on cell growth of adding culture medium from one strain to the culture medium of the other strain, in order to detect any potential production of allelopathic substances that inhibit or favor their growth.

Materials and Methods

Strains and culture conditions

The microcystin-producing strain M. aeruginosa PCC7806 (WT) was provided by the Pasteur Culture Collection of Cyanobacteria (Pasteur Institute of Paris). The mutant strain (MT), generated by inserting a chloramphenicol (Cm) resistance cartridge into one of the genes involved in MC biosynthesis $(mcyB)$ gene), was obtained by Dittmann et al. [20], and provided by the Pasteur Culture Collection of Cyanobacteria. Axenic pre-cultures of each strain were grown at $22\pm2\degree$ C in a 1-l Pyrex Erlenmayer flask containing 500 ml of BG11 medium plus 1.8 mM of NaNO_3 and 10 mM of

Table 1. Experimental culture conditions used in experiments.

OLHN, optimal light and high nitrogen condition; OLLN, optimal light and low nitrogen condition; LLHN, low light and high nitrogen condition; LLLN, low light and low nitrogen condition.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029981.t001

NaHCO₃ [21]. Before the experiments, the mutant was cultivated in BG11 medium containing 5μ g Cm ml⁻¹ [20]. Erlenmeyer flasks were placed in a culture chamber with the appropriate incident light intensity $(I_{in}$, Table 1) using cool white fluorescent lights (Osram Lumilux Plus Eco, L18W/21-840). I_{in} values were measured on the front surface of the culture vessel using a LICOR LI-250 quantum meter (Walz, Effeltrich, Germany) equipped with a LICOR 190SA flat plate cosine-corrected sensor. A 12:12 h light-dark cycle was systematically applied.

Monoculture and co-culture experiments

All experiments were performed in triplicate batch cultures. The growth of the WT and MT strains were compared under optimum and growth-limiting conditions (Table 1) in monoculture and coculture experiments. In the first set of experiments, the two strains were cultured under optimum conditions for cell growth (OLHN conditions, Table 1), i.e. optimal light intensity (OL) and high nitrogen concentration (HN, modified BG11 medium with 9 mM of NaNO₃). The optimal light intensity was set at 39 ± 4 µmol photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$, which was very close to the light saturation intensities reported for these strains by Hesse et al. [22].

The second set of experiments was conducted under growthlimiting conditions (OLLN, LLHN or LLLN conditions, Table 1). Strains were cultured under optimal light condition and low nitrogen concentration (OLLN, modified BG11 medium with 0.036 mM of NaNO₃), or low light intensity (LLHN, 5 µmol photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$), or under conditions in which both nitrogen availability and light intensity (LLLN) were limited. For the light limitation, two supplemental experiments were conducted at intermediate conditions of light intensity $(10 \text{ and } 20 \text{ \mu})$ photons m^{-2} s⁻¹), without nitrogen limitation. For the limitation by nitrogen, we also tested the impact of removing this limitation, by adding a solution of NaNO_3 on day 11 (OLLN condition) or on day 26 (LLLN condition). This produced a concentration of 9 mM $NaNO₃$.

All experiments were started at low cell concentrations to avoid any self-shading effects during the early stages of growth. For the monoculture experiments, the initial cell density was $10⁵$ cells ml⁻¹. In the co-culture experiments, WT and MT strains were inoculated at a cell ratio of 1:1 (5×10^4 cells ml⁻¹ for each strain). M. aeruginosa cell densities were estimated using a Malassez counting chamber with an Olympus BX50 microscope at $\times 400$ magnification (Olympus Optical Co, Tokyo, Japan). Inoculates of exponentially growing pre-cultures (the growth phase was determined by making growth curves based on optical density measurement with a spectrophotometer, see below) were centrifuged twice (for 10 min at $3220 \times g$) before being added to sterilized 500 ml Pyrex Erlenmeyer flasks containing 300 ml of culture medium without Cm, in order to exclude any impact of the antibiotic on the cells (Table 1). The pH was adjusted to 8.2 with Tricine (10 mM). The flasks were shaken manually daily to ensure that their contents were thoroughly mixed. They were also randomly repositioned relative to the light source each day, in order to ensure that they were all exposed to the same light regime. The purity of the axenic Microcystis strains was checked and confirmed before and after experiments by placing an aliquot of the cell material provided on solid growth BG11 medium supplemented with glucose (0.2%, w/v) and casamino acids (0.02%, w/v). Test plates were incubated in the dark for 2–3 days at ambient temperature prior to microscopic examination using phase-contrast objectives and oil immersion.

Samples were taken from day 0 (inoculation) until the late exponential growth phase. Throughout the entire experimental period, culture sampling was performed under sterile conditions every 2 or 3 days for the OLHN and OLLN conditions, and once a week for the LLHN and LLLN conditions. Samples were divided into subsamples for cell counting, evaluation of the physiological cell characteristics, determination of the intracellular MC concentrations, and estimation of the proportions of WT and MT strains. The intensity of the light after it had passed through the culture flask was also regularly measured (I_{out}) , measured on the rear surface of the culture vessel), and the critical light intensity (I^*_{out}) of each strain was determined. I^*_{out} was measured as the light intensity penetrating through the monoculture once the monoculture had reached a stationary phase [23].

Growth kinetics and physiological cell characteristics

Two milliliters of culture suspension were used to estimate the cell density, the phycocyanin:chlorophyll-a ratio (PC:Chl-a), the biovolume, and the maximum relative electron transport rate ($rETR_{max}$). The samples were transferred to a quartz cuvette (10-mm width), and the light absorbance spectrum was scanned from 400 to 750 nm using an UVIKON-XS double-beam spectrophotometer (Bio-Tek Instruments Inc, Winooski, USA). Cell-free medium was used for baseline measurements. After baseline correction, light absorbance spectra were normalized by expressing the optical density (OD) as a percentage of the light absorbed by the first chlorophyll peak (at 438 nm). Microcystis cell density was estimated by converting the OD at 750 nm into cell density (cells ml^{-1}) based on the highly significant positive correlation between these two parameters $(\mathbb{R}^2 = 0.96, \mathbb{N} = 44; \mathbb{P} < 10^{-4}, \text{ data not shown}).$ The specific growth rates, μ (day⁻¹), were calculated during the exponential growth phase according to the following equation:

$$
\mu\!=\!\frac{\ln\times 2\!-\!\ln\times 1}{t2\!-\!t1}
$$

where $x1$ and $x2$ represent the cell density of the strain (cells ml^{-1}) at times t1 and t2 (day), respectively. PC:Chl-a ratios were measured as the ratio between the light absorption by PC $\left(OD_{627\ nm}\right)$ and the light absorption by the second Chl- a peak (OD_{680 nm}).

Biovolumes were measured using an Olympus BX50 microscope at ×600 magnification (Olympus Optical Co, Tokyo, Japan). At least 30 images of single cells were taken from each replicate of each experiment with a Power HAD DXC-950P camera (Sony Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and measured using PegasePro®Full version 4.0 software (2I System, Paris, France). The precision was ± 0.1 µm. This equipment was calibrated using a stage micrometer, and the cell area was computed by the analyzer from the number of pixels forming the image. The cell biovolume was calculated using the formula for a spherical shape taken from Sun and Liu [24].

The light response curve was measured using a pulse-amplitudemodulated fluorescence monitoring system (Phyto-PAM, Walz, Effeltrich, Germany). After being dark-adapted for 20 min, F_t (the instantaneous steady state fluorescence at every successive step of actinic irradiance) and $F_{m'}$ (the maximum fluorescence) were measured during a series of 20 increments of actinic irradiance from 16 to 1864 µmol photons m^{-2} s⁻¹, with a 10 s time interval between successive steps. The rETR_{max} was calculated as follows $(F_m - F_t)$ / $F_{\text{m}} \times 0.42 \times PAR$ (PAR: photosynthetic activity radiance) [25].

MC analysis

Depending on the cell density, 5 to 20 ml of culture suspension were filtered through Whatman GF/C filters (pore size \sim 1.2 µm, 47 mm diameter). The filters were frozen at -20° C until the MCs were extracted using 1 ml of 80% aqueous methanol. The intracellular MC concentrations of the samples were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography with photodiode

array detection (HPLC-DAD, SpectraSYSTEM P4000, Thermo Fischer Scientific Inc, San Jose, USA), and a Kinetex C_{18} column $(100 \text{ by } 4.6 \text{ mm}, 2.6$ -µm particle size, Phenomenex Inc, San Jose, USA). The mobile phase consisted of aqueous acetonitrile/10 mM ammonium acetate (30/70%, vol/vol). The flow rate was 0.8 ml min⁻¹, and the volume injected was 20μ l. MCs were identified from their typical UV spectra at 238 nm, and their retention times. Total MC concentrations were quantified as the sum of all MC peaks using an MC-LR gravimetrical standard (Alexis® Biochemicals, Farmingdale, USA).

The intracellular MC content (fg equivalent (eq.) MC-LR $cell^{-1}$) was also calculated as the ratio between the intracellular concentration of MCs (µg eq. MC-LR l^{-1}) and the cell density (cell 1^{-1}) of the WT strain obtained either by converting the OD measurements at 750 nm for monoculture experiments, or calculated from the WT/MT ratio measured by qPCR during the co-culture experiments (see below).

The MT strain was tested using the protocol described above at the beginning and end of the experiments to check that it did not produce MCs.

DNA extraction and multiplex qPCR

Depending on the cell density, aliquots of 5 to 20 ml of culture suspension were filtered through 47-mm diameter, 0.4-um nominal pore-size polycarbonate membrane filters (Whatman). The filters were frozen (-20°C) immediately until processing. DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Plant mini kit (Qiagen), as described previously by Sabart et al. [26], and then stored at -20 °C until qPCR analysis.

In the co-culture experiments, the proportions of WT and MT strains were determined by qPCR analysis. qPCR was used to determine (1) the total number of M. aeruginosa cells (WT and MT cells) via the intergenic spacer region within the phycocyanin (PC) operon, and (2) the number of MT cells via the chloramphenicol resistance cassette (Cm) inserted into the $mcyB$ gene. The primers and probes used for the PC gene (listed in Table 2) are specific to Microcystis, and have been previously used by Kurmayer and Kutzenberger [5] and Briand et al. [8]. In order to amplify the mutation within the $mcyB$ gene in the MT cells specifically, primers and probes were both located within the 1.4 kbp insertion, i.e. in the Cm resistance cassette. The primers and probes (listed in Table 2) were designed using Beacon Designer 5.0 software (Biosoft International, Palo Alto, USA). The probes were labeled with a fluorescent reporter dye that was covalently attached to the 5' end (FAM, 6-carboxyfluorescein), and a fluorescent quencher dye attached to the 3' end (TAMRA, 6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine). No background experiments were performed for the $qPCR$ amplifying the insertion in $mcyB$ gene, as the design of this qPCR was considered to be highly specific.

PCR reactions were initiated by a 15-min hold at 95° C, followed by 40 cycles each consisting of a denaturing step at 95° C (30 s), an annealing step at 60° C (1 min), and an elongation step at 72° C (30 s). All measurements were performed in duplicate using a Chromo4TM System thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, USA). All the reactions were performed with 20-µl volumes in 96-well plates (Bio-Rad, USA). The multiplex reaction mix contained $10 \mu l$ of $2\times IQ$ Supermix (Bio-Rad, USA), 2 µl of DNA plus variable concentrations of primers and probes (Table 2). Negative controls without DNA were included in each qPCR run. To establish the standard curves, serial dilutions were prepared providing predetermined DNA concentrations from the extracts of WT and MT strains, and the DNA in the template (expressed in cell equivalents) was related to the threshold cycle (C_t) value (defined as the value at which the fluorescence first exceeds the threshold). The fluores-

Table 2. Oligonucleotide primers and hydrolysis probes used in this study.

^aConcentrations of forward primer/reverse primer/hydrolysis probe. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029981.t002

cence threshold of all the samples was set manually to 0.1 (relative fluorescence) for PC gene amplification, and to 0.08 for Cm insertion amplification, in order to obtain the best PCR efficiency using linear-log calibration curves. For both amplifications, significant $(P<0.001)$ linear relationships and similar amplification efficiencies were observed (Table 3). The proportions of MT strains were determined using the ΔC_t method [4,7,8,17].

Allelopathy experiments

Samples that had been pre-cultured under OLHN conditions were inoculated into the experimental vessels towards the end of the exponential growth phase, in order to ensure sufficient cell density for observing allelopathic effects whilst avoiding nutrient-limitation effects. Donor pre-cultures were filtered using sterile Nalgene filter units through a 3- μ m, and then through a 0.8- μ m Millipore filter. Growth inhibition assays were performed on monocultures in a 250 ml Pyrex Erlenmayer flask, with three replicates for each treatment, and reciprocal effects (donor on receiver and receiver on donor) were tested in each assay. The flasks contained a total volume of 100 ml, consisting of a volume of strain culture with an initial concentration of around 5×10^5 cells ml⁻¹. Equal volumes of donor filtrate and $5\times$ concentrated culture BG11 media (supplemented with 10 mM of NaHCO_3 and $10 \text{ mM of Tricine to prevent the}$ inhibition of growth by nutrient limitation) were added in equal volumes q.s.p. 100 ml. For the microcystin addition experiments, MC-LR was obtained from Alexis® Biochemicals (Farmingdale, USA), and solubilized with 0.1% ethanol in sterilized Milli-Q water to produce a final concentration of $100 \mu g I^{-1}$. Growth was measured by $OD_{750 \text{ nm}}$, every 2–3 days using a UVIKON-XS double-beam spectrophotometer.

Results

Monoculture experiments: growth rates, physiological characteristics and intracellular MC content

From the growth curve of the strains cultured under the different environmental conditions, it appeared that under optimal light conditions (OLHN and OLLN), the WT and the MT strains displayed the same profile during their exponential growth phase, but also that the growth of the WT strain decreased more markedly at the end of the exponential phase than that of the MT strain (Fig. 1A and B). Thus the maximum cell density observed was lower for the WT strain. Under low light conditions (LLHN and LLLN), there was no obvious difference between the growth curves of the two strains (Fig. 1C and D). At the end of the experiments, the cell abundances were ten-fold higher under low light conditions (LLHN and LLLN) than under optimal light conditions (OLHN and OLLN).

During the exponential phase, the growth rates of the WT and MT strains did not differ significantly (ANOVA/Tukey's test, Table 4) under the various culture conditions tested. As expected, cell growth rates under non-limiting conditions (OLHN) were higher than those under growth-limiting conditions (OLLN, LLHN and LLLN). Moreover, the growth rates of both strains were much higher under optimal light conditions (OLHN and OLLN conditions) than under lower light intensities (LLHN and LLLN conditions, Table 4).

As expected, the light intensity I_{out} (Fig. S1) was clearly inversely related to the biovolume. No significant difference in either parameter was found between MT and WT strains during the exponential growth phase under any of the conditions (ANOVA/ Tukey's test, data not shown). The rETR_{max}, and the PC:Chl-a ratio, which are sensitive indicators used to monitor the physiological status of strains, also displayed the same trend for both strains under all the culture conditions tested (Fig. S2). Normalized absorbance spectra of the WT and MT strains showed a greater reduction in the PC and Chl-a peaks of the WT strain during the stationary phase under non-light limiting conditions (Fig. S3, the same pattern was observed on days 20, 24 and 28 under OLLN after $NaNO₃$ had been added), but no difference was observed under light-limiting conditions (data not shown).

MC production rates (inferred from the change in MC concentrations over time during the exponential growth phase) were estimated for the WT strain. In monocultures, the values

Table 3. Linear standard curves for the PC gene and Cm insertion.

 $a_y = C_t$ value (PCR cycle value at the fluorescence threshold of 0.1 for PC gene and 0.08 for Cm insertion), $x =$ amount of template DNA (expressed as cell number equivalents),

 b Amplification efficiencies (E) were calculated as follows E = $(10^{-1/\text{slope}}-1)\times100$.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029981.t003

Figure 1. Growth curves of the WT (black circles) and MT (white circles) strains in monoculture experiments under different environmental conditions. A OLHN (optimal light and high nitrogen concentrations), B OLLN (optimal light and low nitrogen concentrations), C LLHN (low light and high nitrogen concentrations), and D LLLN (low light and low nitrogen concentrations). Error bars represent the standard deviation ($N = 3$). NO₃ was added (dashed line) on day 11 under OLLN conditions, and on day 26 under LLLN conditions. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029981.g001

obtained in the four experiments revealed a significant positive correlation between cell growth rates, and MC production rates during the exponential growth phase $(R^2 = 0.72,$ slope = 0.86, $N = 11$, $P < 10^{-4}$, Fig. 2).

The intracellular MC content for the WT displayed high variations during these experiments (Fig. 3). Under OLHN conditions, the highest MC content values were found during the exponential phase of the growth (days 3 and 7), and the lowest when growth was limited (Fig. 3A). The findings were similar under the OLLN condition, but a first decrease in MC contents occurred on day 7, during nitrogen limitation, and a second at the end of the experiment, when cell growth was limited (Fig. 3B). Under LL conditions, the variations in MC contents were less marked than under OL conditions (Fig. 3C and D), but a decrease in MC content also occurred during nitrogen limitation.

Co-culture and allelopathy experiments

In the co-culture experiments performed under non-growthlimiting conditions (OLHN), the growth rate of the MT strain was significantly higher than that of the WT strain (ANOVA/Tukey's test, $P<0.004$, Table 4). As a result, there was a shift towards dominance of the MT strain $(90\pm7\%)$ at the end of the experiment (Fig. 4A). A similar finding was found under OLLN conditions, resulting on day 7 in the dominance of the MT strain $(72\pm1\%$, Fig. 4B). This dominance increased further after the removal of nitrogen limitation, when the proportion of the MT strain reached a similar level to that found at the end of the incubation under OLHN conditions. Under light-limiting conditions, no significant difference was found in the growth rates of WT and MT strains (ANOVA/Tuckey's test, Table 4), and consequently the proportions of both strains were maintained around 50% (Fig. 4C and D).

Under the OLHN and OLLN conditions, the difference between the growth rates of the MT and the WT strains were statistically significant in co-culture experiments in contrast to what was observed in monocultures (Table 4). Moreover, under OLHN conditions, the growth rates of the WT strain were lower in the co-culture experiments than in monoculture. At the same time, even though the difference was not statistically significant (ANOVA/Tuckey's test, Table 4), there was an increase in the growth rate of the MT strain in co-culture experiment compared to that in monoculture. This experiment was repeated (once in triplicate) under the same OLHN conditions in order to confirm these findings, and the same results were found (data not shown). Table 4. Cell growth rates (mean values \pm SD) of the WT and MT strains estimated under the different culture conditions tested and results of ANOVA/Tukey's test.

OLHN, optimal light and high nitrogen condition; OLLN, optimal light and low nitrogen condition; LLHN, low light and high nitrogen condition; LLLN, low light and low nitrogen condition.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029981.t004

No significant difference was found between monoculture and coculture experiments (ANOVA/Tuckey's test, Table 4) under LL conditions (5 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) or under intermediate light conditions (10 and 20 µmol photons m^{-2} s⁻¹, data not shown).

As in the monoculture experiments, a significant positive correlation was found between cell growth rates and MC production rates under all four conditions tested $(R^2 = 0.74,$ slope = 1.21, $N = 11$, $P < 10^{-4}$, Fig. 2). In co-cultures, the overall time course of the MC content was the same as in monoculture experiments, with the highest values being found during the exponential growth phase, followed by a decline during the late exponential growth phase (Fig. 3). At the end of the exponential growth phase under OL conditions (day 7 under OLHN, and day 20 under OLLN culture conditions), the cellular MC content of WT cells was significantly higher in the co-culture experiments than in the monocultures $(ANOVA/Tukev's test, P<0.0001,$ Fig. 3A and B).

Figure 2. Relationship between cell growth rates and MC production rates of the WT strain. Each point represents the mean value of triplicate determinations. Rates were calculated between successive sampling points during the exponential growth phase in monoculture experiments (crosses and continuous line), and in coculture experiments (triangles and dashed line). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029981.g002

Finally, we investigated the effects of adding the filtered culture media of each strain on the cellular growth of both strains in monoculture experiments. The aim of this experiment was to find out whether allelopathic metabolites might be involved in producing the results of the co-culture experiments in which the MT strain dominated under OLHN and OLLN conditions. Filtrate from the MT strain did not appear to affect the growth of the WT strain, nor vice versa (Fig. S4). Moreover, adding purified MC-LR to the filtrate did not influence the growth of either strain.

Discussion

Under monoculture conditions allowing high cell growth rates (i.e. under OLHN conditions and also under OLLN conditions after the removal of the nitrogen limitation), we observed earlier limitation of the cell growth at the end of the exponential phase in the MC-producing WT strain than in the MT strain, which is unable to produce these toxins. Earlier degradation of the lightharvesting pigments was also observed in the WT strain. Under light-limiting conditions, no difference was found in the growth of the two strains, which both displayed lower cell growth rates than under OL conditions. In addition to these findings, as reported in previous papers [18,27–29], we also found that the MC production rate was closely correlated to the growth rate of the cells, meaning that it was higher under OL than under LL conditions.

Kardinaal et al. [15] hypothesized that non-MC-producing M. aeruginosa strains were more effective competitors for the light than MC-producing ones. Thus, the earlier limitation of the growth of the WT strain under optimal light conditions compared to the MT strain might be attributable to greater susceptibility to light limitation. However, the I_{out} values, which were not statistically different, suggested that there was no light limitation at the end of our experiments, and that light could not, therefore, explain the earlier limitation of the WT growth. Knowing that the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites (including MCs) by cells uses inorganic resources [30,31], and that nitrogen, which accounts for over 14% of the molecular weight of MC-LR [32], is a key element in the process of MC synthesis, early growth limitation in the WT strain might be attributable to the greater utilization of nutrients by this strain in order to produce larger quantities of MCs during the exponential growth phase. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that under low light conditions, which led to low cell growth rates and low MC production rates, no difference was found in the growth of the two strains during or at the end of the exponential

Figure 3. Time-course of the intracellular MC content in monoculture (histograms with black points) and in co-culture (hatched histograms) experiments under different culture conditions. A OLHN (optimal light and high nitrogen), B OLLN (optimal light and low nitrogen), C LLHN (low light and high nitrogen), and D LLLN (low light and low nitrogen). Error bars represent the standard deviation (N = 3). NO₃ was added (dashed line) on day 11 under OLLN conditions, and on day 26 under LLLN conditions. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029981.g003

growth phase. Finally, Van de Waal et al. [33] found using the same strains as ours in chemostat co-culture experiments, that the WT strain had a strong advantage over the MT strain at low $CO₂$ level. Thus, the growth limitation of the WT strain and the early degradation of its light-harvesting pigments cannot have been attributable to $CO₂$ limitation, but rather potentially to N or P limitation. To explore this question further, experiments are now being performed to compare the N and P uptakes of the WT and MT strains under optimum growth conditions. In the same way, it would be also necessary to test a larger range of light conditions knowing that light has multiple effects on the transcription of the mcy genes [34] and on the transcription of genes encoding microcystin-related proteins [35].

Interestingly, in the monocultures experiments we found that the final cell abundances were higher under low light than under optimal light conditions, suggesting that nutrient use is better under these lower growth rate conditions. Several papers have shown that the C:N:P ratios change with the growth rate of the cells [36,37]. In particular, Makino et al. [36] have shown that the RNA content of the cells and the P associated with these RNA, was higher under high growth rate conditions than under low growth rate ones. Such changes in C:N:P stoichiometry might lead to the earlier limitation in N or P under high growth rate conditions, in the same way that the biosynthesis of MCs leads to greater limitation of the growth of the MC-producing cells at the end of the exponential phase.

In co-culture experiments under optimal light conditions, we found more marked differences in the growth of the WT and MT strains than in monoculture. In particular, the growth rates of the two strains were significantly different, leading within a short time, to the dominance of the MT strain over the WT. Moreover, under these optimal light conditions, the MC content of the WT strain was most of the time, higher in co-cultures than in monocultures. The dominance of the non-MC-producing strain over the MCproducing strain under culture conditions permitting a high MC production rate had already been observed in another cyanobacterial species, Planktothrix agardhii by Briand et al. [17]. All these findings help to explain the variations that occur in the proportions of MC-producing and non-MC-producing cells during M. aeruginosa blooms in freshwater ecosystems. Several papers have reported [4,7,8,14] that development of these blooms is sometimes (but not always) associated with a reduction in the proportion of MC-producing cells. Different environmental conditions leading to different cellular growth rates (and MC production rates) occur

Figure 4. Time-course of the relative proportions of the WT (black circles) and MT (white circles) strains in co-culture experiments under different culture conditions. A OLHN (optimal light and high nitrogen), B OLLN (optimal light and low nitrogen), C LLHN (low light and high nitrogen), and D LLLN (low light and low nitrogen). Error bars represent the standard deviation (N = 3). NO₃ was added (dashed line) on day 11 under OLLN conditions, and on day 26 under LLLN conditions. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029981.g004

during blooms, and this could explain the constrasting results found in the evolution of the proportions of MC-producing and non-MC-producing cells during these events.

Taken together, the earlier limitation of the growth of the WT strain in monoculture experiments and the lower growth rate of this strain in co-culture experiments suggest that under environmental conditions favoring cell growth, the cost to the cells of producing MCs, outweighs its benefits. However, it remains unexplained why, under optimal light conditions, a statistically significant difference was found in the growth rate of the WT and MT strains in co-culture experiments but not in monoculture ones. Our allelopathy experiments have not supported the possibility that allelopathic substances and/or MCs could account for the outcome of the co-culture experiments. Indeed, the MT strain filtrate was found to have no effect on the growth of the WT strain and vice versa. Nor did adding purified MC-LR have any effect on the growth of either strain. These findings appear to support the suggestion made in the papers of Schatz et al. [19] and Kardinaal et al. [15] that MCs do not play any ecological role as allelopathic compounds in cyanobacterial population dynamics. Thus, our contrasting findings between the two strains during the exponential growth phase in optimal light monoculture and co-culture experiments must be explained by some other processes.

One possibility is that differences between the growth rates of the two strains were too small to be detected during the exponential growth phase of the monoculture experiments, but sufficient to generate significant differences in co-culture experiments. Indeed, under these conditions, competition for limiting factors could potentially occur between the two strains before the plateau phase that might reveal slight differences in their fitness. However, differences of around 30% in the growth of these strains in co-cultures had already appeared during the early days of the experiments, well before nutrients became limiting, which rules out this hypothesis.

In recent years, numerous papers have shown that cooperation and conflict both play major roles in shaping bacterial populations [38,39]. Some of these papers have reported the existence of ''cheating'', behavior in which one strain exploits resources (chemical substances) produced by other strains, known as cooperators [40,41]. Most of the experimental studies dealing on this topic have used Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains that do or do not produce siderophores. Utkilen and Gjølme [42], and also Sevilla et al. [43] have suggested a link between MCs and iron metabolism. Since only a small proportion of MCs are secreted outside the cells, and since our allelopathy experiments did not reveal any increase in the MT growth after adding free MCs or filtered culture media from the WT strain, we think that it is unlikely that free MCs or other free metabolites interacting with MCs could have a chelating function, like that of the siderophores. However, this does not exclude the possibility that MCs or other secondary metabolites interacting with MCs, may be involved in cooperation processes that promote an increase in the growth rate of the MT strain compared to that of the WT strain, if this occurs as a result of physical interactions between cells when the two strains are mixed in the co-cultures.

Understanding the processes that control the changes that occur in the proportions of MC-producing and non-MC-producing cells in cyanobacterial populations is important for ecological purposes, and also in order to be able to predict potential health risks associated with these proliferation events. Field studies have shown that, depending on the ecosystem involved, the proportions of MC-producing and non-MC-producing cells in the bloomforming populations may vary, suggesting that directional selection may operate on these cells under some environmental conditions [4–8]. However, because it is very difficult to identify all the factors and processes that could potentially be involved in this selection in the field, various experiments have recently been performed with the aim of testing some of them.

As stated in the Introduction, one of the main limitations of these experimental approaches has been that they were performed by using non-isogenic strains, which could have led to bias linked to the fact that they differ from each other by many genes in addition to those involved in microcystin biosynthesis. With the aim of limiting the impact of this bias, various combinations of several MC-producing and non-MC-producing strains were tested in a first time [17]. But more recently, in addition to the present study, three other papers reported the use of a wild MC-producing strain (M. aeruginosa PCC 7806) and its non-MC-producing mutant [33,44,45]. These three studies combined clearly show that, under some culture conditions, there are significant differences in the fitness of the MC-producing and non-MC-producing strains. These differences have suggested the possibility of new putative roles of MCs, for example, in carbon metabolism or in the tolerance of oxidative stress [33,44,45]. However, in addition to these findings concerning the benefits for the cell of producing MCs, the present study has clearly shown that the cost of producing MCs (which constitute up to $\sim 2\%$ cell mass) could outweigh its benefits, thus leading to the counter-selection of MCproducing cells under optimum culture conditions. Moreover, a new hypothesis suggesting the possible existence of cooperation processes has also been proposed on the basis of our competition experiments, and this could be investigated in greater depth in the future by taking in account the previous suggestion by Kehr et al. [46] and also Zilliges et al. [47] that MCs may play a role in cellto-cell contact.

References

- 1. Briand JF, Jacquet S, Bernard C, Humbert JF (2003) Health hazards for terrestrial vertebrates from toxic cyanobacteria in surface water ecosystems. Vet Res 34: 361–378.
- 2. Kuiper-Goodman T, Falconer I, Fitzgerald J (1999) Human health aspects. In: Chorus I, Bartram F, eds. Toxic cyanobacteria in water, E & FN Spon, London and New-York. pp 41–111.
- 3. Kardinaal WEA, Visser PM (2005) Dynamics of cyanobacterial toxins. In: Huisman J, Matthijs HCP, Visser PM, eds. Harmful Cyanobacteria Aquatic Ecology Series, Springer, Dordrecht, the Netherlands. pp 41–64.
- 4. Sabart M, Pobel D, Briand E, Combourieu B, Salençon MJ, et al. (2010) Spatiotemporal variations in microcystin concentrations and in the proportions

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Time-course of cell biovolumes (circles) and Iout (losanges) of the WT (shaded symbols) and MT (empty symbols) strains in monoculture experiments under different culture conditions. A OLHN (optimal light and high nitrogen), B OLLN (optimal light and low nitrogen), C LLHN (low light and high nitrogen), D LLLN (low light and low nitrogen). Error bars represent the standard deviation $(N = 3)$. NO3 was added (dashed line) on day 11 under OLLN conditions, and on day 26 under LLLN conditions. (TIF)

Figure S2 Time-course of the maximum relative electron transport rates ($rETR_{max}$, triangles) and PC:Chl-a ratio (squares) of the WT (shaded symbols) and MT (empty symbols) strains in monoculture experiments under different culture conditions. A OLHN (optimal light and high nitrogen), B OLLN (optimal light and low nitrogen), C LLHN (low light and high nitrogen), \bf{D} LLLN (low light and low nitrogen). Error bars represent the standard deviation $(N = 3)$. $NO₃$ was added (dashed line) on day 11 under OLLN conditions, and on day 26 under LLLN conditions. (TIF)

Figure S4 Time-course of the cell abundances of the WT (A) and the MT (B) strains during the allelopathy experiments. WT filtrate (closed square), WT filtrate + MC-LR (closed triangle), WT filtrate + EtOH (closed circle), MT filtrate (open square) MT filtrate + MC-LR (open triangle), and MT filtrate + EtOH (open circle). Error bars represent the standard deviation $(N = 3)$.

(TIF)

Acknowledgments

Monika Ghosh is acknowledged for improving the English version of the manuscript. We thank Muriel Gugger of the Pasteur Institute of Paris for providing M. aeruginosa strain PCC 7806 and its non-toxic mutant.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: EB MB CQ MJS JFH. Performed the experiments: EB. Analyzed the data: EB MB CQ JFH. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: EB MB CQ JFH. Wrote the paper: EB MB CQ MJS JFH.

of microcystin-producing cells in several Microcystis aeruginosa populations. Appl Environ Microbiol 76: 4750–4759.

- 5. Kurmayer R, Kutzenberger T (2003) Application of real-time PCR for quantification of microcystin genotypes in a population of the toxic cyanobacterium Microcystis sp. Appl Environ Microbiol 69: 6723–6730.
- 6. Yoshida M, Yoshida T, Takashima Y, Hosoda N, Hiroishi S (2007) Dynamics of microcystin-producing and non-microcystin-producing Microcystis populations is correlated with nitrate concentration in a Japanese lake. FEMS Microbiol Lett 266: 49–53.
- 7. Briand E, Gugger M, François JC, Bernard C, Humbert JF, et al. (2008) Temporal variations in the dynamics of potentially microcystin-producing strains

in a bloom-forming Planktothrix agardhii (cyanobacteria) population. Appl Environ Microbiol 74: 3839–3848.

- 8. Briand E, Escoffier N, Straub C, Sabart M, Quiblier C, et al. (2009) Spatiotemporal changes in the genetic diversity of a bloom-forming Microcystis aeruginosa (cyanobacteria) population. ISME J 3: 419–429.
- 9. Davis TW, Berry DL, Boyer GL, Gobler CJ (2009) The effects of temperature and nutrients on the growth and dynamics of toxic and non-toxic strains of Microcystis during cyanobacteria blooms. Harmful Algae 8: 715–725.
- 10. Davis TW, Harke MJ, Marcoval MA, Goleski J, Orano-Dawson C, et al. (2010) Effects of nitrogenous compounds and phosphorus on the growth of toxic and non-toxic strains of Microcystis during cyanobacterial blooms. Aquat Microb Ecol 61: 149–162.
- 11. Ha JH, Hidaka T, Hiroshi T (2009) Quantification of toxic Microcystis and evaluation of its dominance ratio in blooms using real-time PCR. Environ Sci Technol 43: 812–818.
- 12. Rinta-Kanto JM, Konopko EA, DeBruyn JM, Bourbonniere RA, Boyer GL, et al. (2009) Lake Erie Microcystis: Relationship between microcystin production, dynamics of genotypes and environmental parameters in a large lake. Harmful Algae 8: 665–673.
- 13. Baxa DV, Kurobe T, Ger KA, Lehman PW, The SJ (2010) Estimating the abundance of toxic Microcystis in the San Francisco Estuary using quantitative real-time PCR. Harmful Algae 9: 342–349.
- 14. Manganelli M, Scardala S, Stefanelli M, Vichi S, Mattei D, et al. (2010) Health risk evaluation associated to Planktothrix rubescens: an integrated approach to design tailored monitoring programs for human exposure to cyanotoxins. Water Res 44: 1297–1306.
- 15. Kardinaal WEA, Tonk L, Janse I, Hol S, Slot P, et al. (2007) Competition for light between toxic and nontoxic strains of the harmful cyanobacterium Microcystis. Appl Environ Microbiol 73: 2939–2946.
- 16. LeBlanc Renaud S, Pick FR, Fortin N (2011) Effect of light intensity on the relative dominance of toxigenic and nontoxigenic strains of Microcystis aeruginosa. Appl Environ Microbiol 77: 7016–7022.
- 17. Briand E, Yéprémian C, Humbert JF, Quiblier C (2008) Competition between microcystin- and non-microcystin-producing Planktothrix agardhii (cyanobacteria) strains under different environmental conditions. Environ Microbiol 10: 3337–3348.
- 18. Orr PT, Jones GJ (1998) Relationship between microcystin production and cell division rates in nitrogen-limited Microcystis aeruginosa cultures. Limnol Oceanogr 43: 1604–1614.
- 19. Schatz D, Keren Y, Vardi A, Sukenik A, Carmeli S, et al. (2007) Towards clarification of the biological role of microcystins, a family of cyanobacterial toxins. Environ Microbiol 9: 965–970.
- 20. Dittmann E, Neilan BA, Erhard M, von Döhren H, Börner T (1997) Insertional mutagenesis of a peptide synthetase gene that is responsible for hepatotoxin production in the cyanobacterium Microcystis aeruginosa PCC7806. Mol Microbiol 26: 779–787.
- 21. Rippka R, Deruelles JB, Waterbury M, Herdman M, Stanier RY (1979) Genetics assignments, strain stories and properties of pure cultures of cyanobacteria. J General Microbiol 11: 1–61.
- 22. Hesse K, Dittmann E, Börner T (2001) Consequences of impaired microcystin production for light-dependent growth and pigmentation of Microcystis aeruginosa PCC7806. FEMS Microb Ecol 37: 39–43.
- 23. Huisman J, Weissing FJ (1994) Light-limited growth and competition for light in well-mixed aquatic environments: an elementary model. Ecology 75: 507–520. 24. Sun J, Liu D (2003) Geometric models for calculating cell biovolume and surface
- area for phytoplankton. J Plank Res 25: 1331–1346.
- 25. Schreiber U, Bilger W, Hormann H, Neubauer C (1998) Chlorophyll fluorescence as a diagnostic tool: basics and some aspects of practical relevance. In Raghavendra AS, ed. Photosynthesis: A Comprehensive Treatise, Cambridge
- University Press, Cambridge. pp 320–336.
26. Sabart M, Pobel D, Latour D, Robin J, Salençon MJ, et al. (2009) Spatiotemporal changes in the genetic diversity in French bloom-forming populations of the toxic cyanobacterium, *Microcystis aeruginosa.* Environ Microbiol
Rep 1: 263–272.
- 27. Long BM, Jones GJ, Orr PT (2001) Cellular microcystin content in N-limited Microcystis aeruginosa can be predicted from growth rate. Appl Environ Microbiol 67: 278–283.
- 28. Briand JF, Jacquet S, Flinois C, Avois-Jacquet C, Maisonnette C, et al. (2005) Variations in the microcystin production of Planktothrix rubescens (Cyanobacteria) assessed from a four-year survey of Lac du Bourget (France) and from laboratory experiments. Mic Ecol 50: 418–428.
- 29. Downing TG, Sember CS, Gehringer MM, Leukes W (2005) Medium N:P ratios and specific growth rate comodulate microcystin and protein content in Microcystis aeruginosa PCC 7806 and M. aeruginosa UV027. Microb Ecol 49: 468–473.
- 30. Van de Waal DB, Verspagen JMH, Lürling M, Van Donk E, Visser PM, et al. (2009) The ecological stoichiometry of toxins produced by harmful cyanobacteria: an experimental test of the carbon-nutrient balance hypothesis. Ecol Letters 12: 1326–1335.
- 31. Van de Waal DB, Ferreruela G, Tonk L, Van Donk E, Huisman J, et al. (2010) Pulsed nitrogen supply induces dynamic changes in the amino acid composition and microcystin production of the harmful cyanobacterium Planktothrix agardhii. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 74: 430–438.
- 32. Botes DP, Wessels PL, Kruger H, Runnegar MTC, Santikarn S, et al. (1985) Structural studies on cyanoginosins-LR, -YR, -YA, and –YM, peptide toxins from Microcystis aeruginosa. J Chem Soc, Perkin Transactions I: 2747-2748.
- 33. Van de Waal DB, Verspagen JMH, Finke JF, Vournazou V, Immers AK, et al. (2011) Reversal in competitive dominance of a toxic versus non-toxic cyanobacterium in response to rising CO2. ISME J 5: 1438–1450.
- 34. Kaebernick M, Dittmann E, Börner T, Neilan BA (2001) Multiple alternate transcripts direct the biosynthesis of microcystins, a cyanobacterial nonribosomal peptide. Appl Env Microbiol 68: 449–455.
- 35. Dittmann E, Erhard M, Kaebernick M, Scheler C, Neilan BA, et al. (2001) Altered expression of two light-dependant genes in a microcystin-lacking mutant of Microcystis aeruginosa PCC 7806. Microbiology 147: 3113–3119.
- 36. Makino W, Cotner JB, Sterner RW, Elser JJ (2003) Are bacteria more like plants or animals? Growth rate and resource dependence of bacterial C : N : P stoichiometry. Funct Ecol 17: 121–130.
- 37. Keiblinger KM, Hall EK, Wanek W, Szukics U, Hämmerle I, et al. (2010) The effect of resource quantity and resource stoichiometry on microbial carbon-useefficiency. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 73: 430–440.
- 38. Griffin AS, West SA, Buckling A (2004) Cooperation and competition in pathogenic bacteria. Nature 430: 1024–1027.
- 39. Diggle SP, Griffin AS, Campbell GS, West SA (2007) Cooperation and conflict in quorum-sensing bacterial populations. Nature 450: 411–414.
- 40. Foster KR, Parkinson K, Thompson CRL (2006) What can microbial genetics teach sociobiology? Trends in Genetics 23: 74–80.
- 41. Buckling A, Harrison F, Vos M, Brockhurst MA, Gardner A, et al. (2007) Siderophore-mediated cooperation and virulence in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 62: 135–141.
- 42. Utkilen H, Gjølme N (1995) Iron-stimulated toxin production in Microcystis aeruginosa. Appl Env Microbiol 61: 797–800.
- 43. Sevilla E, Martin-Luna B, Vela L, Bes MT, Fillat MF, et al. (2008) Iron availability affects $m c y D$ expression and microcystin-LR synthesis in Microcystis aeruginosa PCC7806. Env Microbiol 10: 2476–2483.
- 44. Zilliges Y, Kehr JC, Maissner S, Ishida K, Mikkat S, et al. (2011) The cyanobacterial hepatotoxin microcystin binds to proteins and increases the fitness of Microcystis inder oxidative stress conditions. PLoS One 6: e17615.
- 45. Alexova R, Fujii M, Birch D, Cheng J, Waite D, et al. (2011) Iron uptake and toxin synthesis in the bloom-forming Microcytis aeruginosa under iron limitation. Env Microbiol 13: 1064–1077.
- 46. Kehr JC, Zilliges Y, Springer A, Disney MD, Ratner DD, et al. (2006) A mannan binding lectin is involved in cell-cell attachment in a toxic strain of Microcystis aeruginosa. Mol Microbiol 59: 893–906.
- 47. Zilliges Y, Kehr JC, Mikkat S, Bouchier C, Tandeau de Marsac N, et al. (2008) An extracellular glycoprotein is implicated in cell-cell contacts in the toxic cyanobacteria Microcystis aeruginosa PCC 7806. J Bacteriol 190: 2871–2879.